

Remarks

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the present U.S. Patent application as amended herein. Claims 1, 10, 16 and 22 have been amended. No claims have been added or canceled. Thus, claims 1-30 are pending.

DRAWINGS

Corrected drawings are submitted herewith. In Figure 1, element 104 has been labeled “Network” which is consistent with the specification. No new matter has been added. Accordingly, Applicants request that the objection to the drawings be withdrawn.

ABSTRACT

A replacement abstract is submitted herewith. Accordingly, Applicants request that the objection to the abstract be withdrawn.

CLAIM REJECTIONS – 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

Claims 1-8, 10-14, 16-28 and 30 were rejected as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Publication No. US 2002/0002635 A1 of Astala, et al. (*Astala*). For at least the reasons set forth below, Applicants submit that claims 1-8, 10-14, 16-28 and 30 are not anticipated by *Astala*.

Claim 1 recites:

storing phase control configuration data for a Web site, said Web site including a plurality of sections, wherein a phase comprises a set of one or more Web pages within the Web site that are grouped by functionality and/or order; and

dispatching a section of said plurality of sections utilizing said phase control configuration data.

Amendments to the Figures

The attached sheet of figures includes changes to Fig. 1. This sheet replaces the original sheet including Fig. 1. In Fig. 1, element 104 has been labeled “Network” to be consistent with the specification. See, for example, paragraph [0014].

Attachment: Replacement Sheets

Annotated Sheet Showing Changes

Thus, Applicants claim storing and utilizing phase control configuration data to dispatch sections of a Web site. A phase is a set of one or more Web pages within the Web site that are grouped by functionality and/or order. See, for example, paragraph [0013] of the specification as filed. Claim 10 recites similar limitations.

Astala discloses obtaining a snapshot of a Web page. See paragraph [0066]. However, *Astala* does not disclose storing and utilizing phase control configuration data to dispatch sections of a Web site. Therefore, *Astala* cannot anticipate the invention as claimed in claims 1 and 10.

Claims 2-8 depend from claim 1. Claims 11-14 depend from claim 10. Because dependent claims include the limitations of the claims from which they depend, Applicants submit that claims 2-8 and 11-14 are not anticipated by *Astala* for at least the reasons set forth above.

Claim 16 recites:

a memory to store phase control configuration data for a Web site, said Web site including a plurality of sections, wherein a phase comprises a set of one or more Web pages within the Web site that are grouped by functionality and/or order...

Thus, Applicants claim storing and utilizing phase control configuration data to dispatch sections of a Web site. A phase is a set of one or more Web pages within the Web site that are grouped by functionality and/or order. Claim 22 recites similar limitations.

As discussed above, *Astala* does not disclose storing and utilizing phase control configuration data to dispatch sections of a Web site. Therefore, *Astala* cannot anticipate the invention as claimed in claims 16 and 22.

Claims 17-21 depend from claim 16. Claims 23-28 and 30 depend from claim 22. Because dependent claims include the limitations of the claims from which they depend, Applicants submit that claims 17-21, 23-28 and 30 are not anticipated by *Astala* for at least the reasons set forth above.

CLAIM REJECTIONS – 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Claims 9, 15 and 29 are rejected as being unpatentable over *Astala* in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,370,582 issued to Lim, et al. (*Lim*). For the reasons set forth below, Applicants submit that claims 9, 15 and 29 are not rendered obvious by *Astala* and *Lim*.

Applicants agree with the Examiner that *Astala* fails to teach control configuration data specifying a CGI application associated with a section of a Web site. Applicants submit that whether or not *Lim* discloses the subject matter asserted in the Office Action, *Lim* does not cure the deficiencies of *Astala* set forth above. Therefore, no combination of *Astala* and *Lim* can teach or suggest the invention as claimed in claims 9, 15 and 29.

CONCLUSION

For at least the foregoing reasons, Applicants submit that the rejections have been overcome. Therefore, claims 1-30 are in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited. The Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned by telephone if such contact would further the examination of the present application.

Application No. 09/896,214
Amendment dated July 15, 2005
Response to Office Action of February 16, 2005

Atty. Docket No. 42390.P11653
Examiner Casiano, Angel L.
TC/A.U. 2182

Please charge any shortages and credit any overcharges to our Deposit Account number

02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN, LLP

Date: July 18, 2005


Paul A. Mendonsa
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 42,879

12400 Wilshire Boulevard
Seventh Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026
(503) 439-8778

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal service as first class mail with sufficient postage in an envelope addressed to:
Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

On: July 18, 2005
Signature R.B. 7/18/05
Rachael Brown Date

Attachments